

NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE QUOTATIONS

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 22, 1922.

TOPICS OF WALL STREET.

MILMENGHE LIST

Market Demonstrates Strength.

Buoyancy of prices was the rule in

yesterday's stock market with displays

of special strength being made by many

active industrials, including Studebaker,

Lima Locomotive, American Locomotive,

Pullman, Coudon, California Petroleum

and Tobacco Products. Railroad stocks

also participated in the upward move,

particularly New York Central, Southern

Pacific and Lehigh Valley. Delaware

and Hudson was an exception to the

general trend. Selling of that stock ap-

peared to be the result of adverse dividend

reports. Near the close Corn Products

had a moderate advance on announce-

ment of an extra \$3 dividend and estab-

lishment of a regular annual rate of

\$4, in place of \$3 regular and \$2 extra

yearly.

Inquiry into the identity of the larger

stockholders of the two concerns by Gil-

bert E. Rowe, attorney for the commit-

tee, brought from Mr. O'Neill that eight

interests owned 37 per cent. of the stock

of the two concerns, and from Mr. O'Neill

that four interests held approximately

35 per cent. of his corporation's stock.

Mr. O'Neill gave the eight principal

stockholders of the Prairie Oil and Gas

Company, with the percentage of their

holdings to the total outstanding stock,

as follows: H. H. Harkness, 3 per cent.;

Northern Finance Corporation, 4 per

cent.; John D. Rockefeller, Jr., 12 per

cent.; A. M. Harkness, 3 per cent.;

trustees of the Henry H. Harkness estate,

General Education Board, 1 per cent.;

Laura Spellman Rockefeller Memorial, 3

per cent., and the Rockefeller Institute

of Medical Research, 4 per cent.

The last of the Standard Oil of New

York, with the percentage of their hold-

ings to the total outstanding stock, was

Rockefeller, Jr., 25 per cent.; A. M.

Harkness, 3 per cent.; Edward S.

Harkness, 2 per cent.; and the Northern

Finance Corporation, 4 per cent.

Mr. O'Neill was questioned by Chair-

man La Follette as to the reason that

prices for crude oil posted in the mid-

west were higher than those of the New

York market. He replied that the New

York market had been higher than the

midwest market for some time, and

that the New York market was higher

than the midwest market for some

time. He added that the New York

market was higher than the midwest

market for some time, and that the

New York market was higher than the

midwest market for some time.

The call loan rate in the New

York Stock Exchange opened and re-

mained steady at 4 1/2 per cent. and

then advanced to 4 3/4 per cent. The

advance continued to be quoted at 4 3/4

per cent. for thirty days loans and 4 1/2

per cent. for loans of longer maturities.

Cash dividends amounting to \$55,000,000

of Standard Oil of New York, but

not of the Standard Oil of Indiana, are

to be paid on January 1, 1923, on a

capitalization of \$50,000,000. The

Standard Oil of New York, according to

Mr. Folger, who acted as the agent for

the company, had paid \$75,000,000 in

taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard

Oil of New York, but of the Standard

Oil of Indiana, which had paid \$75,000,000

in taxes. The figures did not take account

of the stock dividend of 200 per cent.

recommenced in 1911 by the Standard